PFOA and PFOS - Aqua Pennsylvania



Updated: July 26, 2016

Background

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identifies the contaminants to regulate in our drinking water, and they set regulatory limits for amounts of certain contaminants. Aqua uses the EPA's maximum contaminant levels to ensure water quality.

There are some contaminants for which the EPA develops health advisories that do not have set regulatory limits. The health advisories provide technical information on health effects. PFOA and PFOS are included in those contaminants that have no regulatory limit but are associated with a health advisory. These chemicals are among a family of manmade chemicals that have been used for decades as an ingredient to make products that resist heat, oil, stains, grease and water, and in products for firefighting at airfields. They are extremely resistant to breaking down in the environment.

In 2009, EPA published provisional health advisories for PFOA and PFOS. At the time they were established, these advisories were as follows: PFOS: 200 parts per trillion and PFOA: 400 parts per trillion. In May 2016, EPA replaced the 2009 provisional advisories with new, lifetime health advisories that combined the two chemicals and set a 70 parts per trillion health advisory level for both.

What has happened recently?

Over the past 3 months, Aqua Pennsylvania has begun to receive laboratory results for PFOA and PFOS. Our most recent testing for these chemicals used a more sensitive method, under the EPA's Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) program. Samples were collected from Aqua Pennsylvania water sources in eastern Montgomery County because of the recent developments with the groundwater contamination from PFOA and PFOS originating from nearby military bases.

The results showed low levels of PFOA and PFOS in sources that had previously tested as "non-detect" using the 2009 provisional advisory. This does not necessarily imply that levels are increasing, since testing sensitivities were more rigorous due to laboratory method improvements.

Aqua's recent testing, using the more sensitive testing method, has been focused on our water supply sources used to supply Horsham Township and adjacent areas of eastern Montgomery County. PFOA and PFOS were detected at levels **below the EPA's health advisory limit of 70 parts per trillion** at the source locations.

In response to concerns over potential health impacts from PFOA and PFOS, Aqua is providing recent results of PFOA and PFOS testing in our service area, in the table below. This includes laboratory results from a well in Bristol Township that had a PFOA concentration of 26 parts per trillion in 2013 and 20 parts per trillion in 2014. These levels were well below the EPA's 2009 provisional health advisory for these chemicals. As we do annually, we communicated these results to customers in our Consumer Confidence Reports.

*Please see next page for sampling results.

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. PFC Monitoring During 2016 Compared With New Health Advisory Level

Well - Upper Dublin Township	Source Location	PFC Tested	Date	Concentration, parts per trillion	Combined PFOS + PFOA, parts per trillion	EPA HAL, parts per trillion	Below Health Advisory Level?
Township	Well - Upper Dublin	PFOS	4/25/2016	9.6	10.4	70	
Interconnect from Aquato Horsham		PFOA	4/25/2016	8.8	18.4	/0	YES
Interconnect from Aquato Horsham		l	·	!	·	l	
Interconnect from Aquator PFOS 4/25/2016 9 9 13.8 70 YES		PFOS	4/13/2016	7.5	11.9	70	YES
to Horsham PFOA 4/25/2016 4.8 13.8 70 YES		PFOA	4/13/2016	4.4			
PFOS 5/3/2016 7.8 12.4 70 YES		PFOS	4/25/2016	9	13.8	70	YES
PFOA 5/3/2016 4.6 12.4 70 YES		PFOA	4/25/2016	4.8			
Well - Abington Township		PFOS	5/3/2016	7.8	10.4	70	YES
Township		PFOA	5/3/2016	4.6	12.4		
Township							
Intake to Neshaminy	Well - Abington				0.8	70	YES
Creek Plant		PFOA	5/5/2016	3.5	9.0		
Creek Plant							
Interconnect to Aqua	Intake to Neshaminy				20.6	70	YES
Comparison Com	Creek Plant	PFOA	5/5/2016	6.6	20.0		
Well - Bristol Township PFOS 2/5/2016 9.1 18 27.1 70 YES Well 6- Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.5 19.5 70 YES Well 8 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 10 10 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 11 11 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 17 13 25 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 12 12 19.1 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Lower Southampton			(ND)	ND	70	YES
Well - Bristol Township PFOA 2/5/2016 18 27.1 70 YES Well 6- Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.5 19.5 70 YES Well 8 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 17 28 70 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 11 49 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Township	ITOA	3/3/2010	IND			
Well - Bristol Township PFOA 2/5/2016 18 27.1 70 YES Well 6- Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.5 19.5 70 YES Well 8 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 17 28 70 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 11 49 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Well - Bristol Township	PEOS	2/5/2016	91	27.1	70	YES
Well 6- Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.5 10 19.5 70 YES Well 8 - Hatboro PFOA 7/2/2016 17 28 70 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOA 7/2/2016 11 70 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 12 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES							
Well 8 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 17 28 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 11 28 70 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 12 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 10 YES YES		1	=, =, = = : =		I		l l
Well 8 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 17 28 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 11 11 70 YES Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 12 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 19.1 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Well 6- Hatboro	PFOS	7/2/2016	9.5	19.5	70	YES
PFOA 7/2/2016 11 Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 70 YES		PFOA	7/2/2016	10			
PFOA 7/2/2016 11 Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 25 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOA 7/2/2016 12 25 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 10 YES							
Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 70 YES	Well 8 - Hatboro	PFOS	7/2/2016	17	28	70	YES
Well 9 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 32 49 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 17 70 YES Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 70 YES		PFOA	7/2/2016	11			
Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES			l				
Well 15 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 13 25 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 12 19.1 70 YES Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 9.1 9.1 9.1 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Well 9 - Hatboro	PFOS	7/2/2016	32	49	70	YES
Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 8 70 YES Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES		PFOA	7/2/2016	17			
PFOA 7/2/2016 12 Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	<u> </u>	1	I		I	1	<u> </u>
Well 21 - Hatboro PFOS 7/2/2016 9.1 19.1 70 YES PFOA 7/2/2016 10 Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Well 15 - Hatboro	PFOS	7/2/2016	13	25	70	YES
PFOA 7/2/2016 10 Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES		PFOA	7/2/2016	12			
PFOA 7/2/2016 10 Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES		•					
Well 8 - Chalfont PFOS 7/2/2016 59 68 70 YES	Well 21 - Hatboro	PFOS	7/2/2016	9.1	19.1	70	YES
		PFOA	7/2/2016	10			
		•	•	•	•	•	
PFOA 7/2/2016 9	Well 8 - Chalfont	PFOS	7/2/2016	59	68	70	YES
		PFOA	7/2/2016	9			

PFOS	7/2/2016	15	24.4	70	YES
PFOA	7/2/2016	9.4			
PFOS	7/2/2016	5.2	9.6	70	YES
PFOA	7/2/2016	4.4			
PFOS	7/2/2016	ND			
PFOA	7/2/2016	ND	ND	70	YES
	PFOS PFOS PFOS	PFOA 7/2/2016 PFOS 7/2/2016 PFOS 7/2/2016 PFOS 7/2/2016	PFOA 7/2/2016 9.4 PFOS 7/2/2016 5.2 PFOA 7/2/2016 4.4 PFOS 7/2/2016 ND	PFOA 7/2/2016 9.4 PFOS 7/2/2016 5.2 9.6 PFOA 7/2/2016 4.4 PFOS 7/2/2016 ND	PFOA 7/2/2016 9.4 PFOS 7/2/2016 5.2 9.6 70 PFOA 7/2/2016 4.4 PFOS 7/2/2016 ND

Note: The analysis detection limit called the Method Reporting Limit for all samples was 2.5 parts per trillion

The water Aqua provides to customers in this area is a blend of multiple sources of treated groundwater and surface water. As a result of the recent results, we are further focusing our testing program to be sure that PFOS and PFOA remain below the HAL. In June and July, Aqua began sampling additional sources in Eastern Montgomery County, including wells in Hatboro and Chalfont, and in the Peddler's Village area of Bucks County to further determine if PFOA and/or PFOS are present. Well #8 in Chalfont was taken offline the same day results were provided (http://www.chalfontborough.com/pfc-aqua-pa-water-update/) though they were below the HAL. If levels above the HAL are detected, our customers, EPA, and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection will be immediately notified of the results and of our planned remedial actions. Moving forward, Aqua will routinely update its findings for PFOA and PFOS and share them via our website to keep customers informed. In the interim, please be assured that your water is safe to drink.

Moving forward

Aqua will routinely update its findings for PFOA and PFOS and share them <u>on our website</u> so customers can stay informed. In addition, Aqua is diligently collecting samples from a broader geographic area. Once this data is collected, Aqua will be in a better position to evaluate regional impacts and possible next steps. In the interim, please be assured that the water provided by Aqua tests well below the EPA's health advisory levels for PFOA/PFOS and is safe to drink. For more information on PFOA and PFOS, please visit the <u>EPA's website</u>. If you'd like more information, please call Aqua, at 877.987.2782.

What are Perfluorochemicals (PFCs), PFOA and PFOS?

Perfluorochemicals are a family of manmade chemicals that have been used for decades as an ingredient to make products that resist heat, oil, stains, grease and water, and are extremely resistant to breakdown in the environment.

Common uses of PFCs include: 1) nonstick cookware, stain-resistant carpets and fabrics, 2) coatings on some food packaging—especially microwave popcorn bags and fast food wrappers, 3) firefighting foam, and 4) many industrial applications.

PFOA and PFOS are fluorinated organic chemicals that are part of a larger group of chemicals referred to as perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs). PFOA and PFOS have been the most extensively produced and studied of these chemicals. They have been used to make carpets, clothing, fabrics for furniture, paper packaging for food and other materials (e.g., cookware) that are resistant to water, grease or stains. They are also used for firefighting at air fields and in a number of industrial processes. For more information, visit the EPA and Centers for Disease Control websites using the links below.

Can I use any home devices to remove PFCs?

According to the EPA, home drinking water treatment units are typically certified by independent third party organizations against American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards to verify their contaminant removal claims. Some home filters remove impurities using activated carbon and reverse osmosis, which are the same technologies used by public water supply systems to remove PFOA and PFOS. However, there currently are no ANSI protocols for testing home treatment systems to verify that these devices effectively remove PFOA and PFOS or how frequently the filters should be changed to maintain removal efficiency. NSF International is currently developing such protocols.

Since no recommendation can be made by EPA at this time, customers can use the following links to find information about home systems, which they can discuss with their physicians:

PFC Point-of-Use filtration studies

- -Anumol (2015). Point-of-Use Devices for Attenuation of Trace Organic Compounds in Water http://www.awwa.org/publications/journal-awwa/abstract/articleid/53620391.aspx
- Minnesota Department of Health Evaluation of Point-of-Use Filtration for PFCs http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/wells/waterquality/poudevicefinalsummary.pdf

PFC Home Filtration factsheets from NY and NH -

https://www.health.ny.gov/environmental/investigations/hoosick/docs/hoosick_pou_final.pdf http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/documents/pfoa-inhome-treatment-20160518.pdf

How does EPA's Health Advisory for PFOS and PFOA Include Drinking Water?

EPA's health advisory levels were calculated to offer a margin of protection against adverse health effects to the most sensitive populations: fetuses during pregnancy and breastfed infants. The health advisory levels are calculated based on the drinking water intake of lactating women, who drink more water than other people and can pass these chemicals along to nursing infants through breastmilk.

The levels were also based upon the exposure to the chemical for 70 years drinking 2 liters (8 glasses) of drinking water per day.

It also assumes 20 percent of the individual exposure to PFCs comes from drinking water and 80 percent comes from home and environmental (non-drinking water) exposures.

More information about the health advisory can be found at:

 $\frac{https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/drinking-water-health-advisories-pfoa-and-pfos}{}$